

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/469,726	12/21/1999	OIPE XIN WANG	D/99164	5313
759	90 10/31/2006	图	EXAM	INER
MARC S. KAI		NOV 0 6 2006 (1)	HA, LEYNNA A	
NIXON PEABC 8180 GREENSE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	22102	E TRADEMARK OF	2135	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eathermore for turn may be available used the provision of 30 FCR 1130c, in no event, heaver, may a reply be timely filled to the provision of 30 FCR 1130c, in no event, heaver, may a reply be timely filled. 1 NO period for reply is specified above, the notinem statutory parietal will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 Palm to reply with the set or exhanced period for rejoil used the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seme patient time algulation. 1 Separation is FINAL. 2 DISC This action is final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 2 DISC Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4 Since this application is in the application. 4 Since this application is of the application. 4 Since this application is objected to the application. 4 Since this application is objected to by the Examiner. 5 Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4 Since this application is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 4 Displacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to see 37 CFR 1.86(a). 4 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to see 37 CFR 1.96(b). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the atta		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
LEYNNAT.HA 2135		09/469,726	WANG, XIN	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence eddress — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elements of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(c), in covers, however, may reply be time filed attention of the state of the communication of 37 CFR 1.18(c), in covers, however, may reply be time filed attention of the state of the communication of the state of the s	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - AS IN COMMUNICATION A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS COMMUNICATION A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS COMMUNICATION THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS COMMUNICATION THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CALL STATUTORY THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CALL STATUTORY THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CALL STATUTORY THIS CALL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS CALL STATUTORY THIS CALL STATUT				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor of time may be switched under the provisions of 37 FR1 1380, in no event, however, may a regly be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. FNO period for regly is specified store, the manarum autiturity period will apply and will capin SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. FNO period for regly is specified store, the manarum autiturity period will apply and will capin SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. FNO period from plays themselves. FNO period from all provisions of the provision of the provis	Period for Reply			
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application of Other:	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(a) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Other: 9) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Other: 9) Notice of Informal Patent Application 10 Other:	Status			
Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	Application Papers		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	_

Application/Control Number: 09/469,726 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 is pending.

2. This is a Non-Final rejection.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 7, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright, et al. (US 6,084,969), and further in view of Jakobsson (US 6,587,946).

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 1:

Wright, et al. disclose a method for encrypting an original document for distribution to a selected recipient chosen from a plurality of possible recipients, comprising the steps of:

generating a session key based on a random number [col.11, lines 46-50] privately maintained by only the owner, including the encryptor [col.9, lines 51-52], of the original document; [col.5, lines 2-4 and col.7, lines 10-11]

encrypting the original document with the session key to create an encrypted document; [col.5, lines 21-22 and col.7, lines 12-13]

generating a proxy key based on a public key [col.10, lines 26-28 and col.11, line 11] corresponding to the selected recipient; and [col.11, lines 65-67 and col.14, lines 35-36]

transforming the encrypted document with a proxy key to create a transformed document [col.14, lines 65-67], wherein the encrypted document remains in an encrypted state [col.12, lines 55-56] during the transformation to the transformed document.

Wright discloses alternative methods for secure message transmission. There is a method that decrypts and then re-encrypts the message and there is the alternative method of a straight through process of the encryption and the re-encryption process throughout the transformation of the encrypted document where the encryption remains encrypted [col.13, lines 49-65 and col.14, lines 16-30]. It is obvious that a message being encrypted is considered a message that has been transformed. However, Wright

Art Unit: 2135

did not explain the encrypted message transforms to the encrypted message into a transformed message that is not decrypted to the original message and re-encrypted.

Jakobsson teaches proxy cryptography and demonstrates that asymmetric proxy transformations exist (col.3, lines 18-21). Jakobsson discloses the asymmetric encryption where the transformation is performed under quorum control, which guarantees that if there is not a dishonest quorum, then the plaintext message whose encryption is being transformed is not revealed to the proxy servers. solution is efficient allowing tight control over actions and forwarding secret key encrypted messages from a primary recipient to a secondary recipient without disclosing the underlying encrypted message (col.3, lines 50-63). Jakobsson discloses the proxy transforming encrypted messages to encryptions with a variety of different recipient public keys to allow for categorization of the encryptions (col.4, lines 17-20). Further, Jakobsson includes the proxy to transform an encryption which the proxy could not decrypt into an encryption for which the proxy holds the secret key (col.6, lines 10-14). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wright with transforming the encrypted message to a transformed message that is not decrypted and re-encrypted as taught by Jakobsson because during transformation the plaintext is not revealed which leads to not being decrypted and transforming an encrypted message is efficient and does not disclose the underlying encrypted message (col.3, lines 50-63).

As per claim 2: See Wright on col.14, lines 65-67; discusses transforming the transformed document to the selected recipient.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 3: See Wright on col.12, lines 5-1 and col.14, lines 41-42; discusses recovering the session key from the transformed document and decrypting the transformed document with the session key to recover the original document.

As per claim 4: See Wright on col.13, line 51; discusses applying the private key corresponding to the selected recipient.

As per claim 5: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses an encryption step is a combination of a symmetric private key encryption scheme and an asymmetric public key encryption scheme.

As per claim 6: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses the asymmetric public key encryption scheme is based on the ElGamal encryption scheme.

As per claim 7: See Wright on col.7, lines 3-5 and col.11, lines 10-11; discusses the encrypted document comprises a first portion representative of the original document encrypted via the symmetric private key encryption scheme using the session key, and a second portion representative of the session key encrypted using an owner's private key according to the asymmetric public key encryption scheme (col.7, lines 20-21).

As per claim 8:

Wright discloses the original document is distributed to the selected recipient through at least one additional intermediate grantor by repeating the following steps for each additional intermediate grantor:

generating a new proxy key based on the intermediate grantor's public key; and [col.14, lines 65-67]

Art Unit: 2135

transforming the encrypted document with the new proxy key to create a transformed document customized for the intermediate grantor. [col.13, lines 50-51]

As per claim 10: See Wright on col.7, lines 3-5 and col.11, lines 10-11; discusses the encrypted document comprises a first portion representative of the original document encrypted via the symmetric private-key encrypted scheme using the session key, and a second portion representative of the session key encrypted using an owner's private key according to the asymmetric public-key encryption scheme.

As per claim 11: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses encrypted with the modified ElGamal encryption scheme.

As per claim 12: See Wright on col., lines; discusses generating a session key [col.11, lines 46-50], encrypting the original document [col.5, lines 21-22 and col.7, lines 12-13], generating a proxy key [col.10, lines 26-28 and col.11, line 11], transforming the encrypted document are performed by the grantor [col.12, lines 55-56 and col.14, lines 65-67]

As per claim 13:

Wright, et al. disclose a system operable to encrypt an original document for distribution to a selected recipient chosen from a plurality of possible recipients, comprising:

a session key generation system that generates a session key based on a random number [col.11, lines 46-50] privately maintained by only the owner, including the encryptor [col.9, lines 51-52], of the original document; [col.5, lines 2-4 and col.7, lines 10-11]

Art Unit: 2135

an encryption system that encrypts the original document with the session key to create an encrypted document; [col.5, lines 21-22 and col.7, lines 12-13]

a proxy key generation system that generates a proxy key based on a public key [col.10, lines 26-28 and col.11, line 11] corresponding to the selected recipient; and [col.11, lines 65-67 and col.14, lines 35-36]

a transformation system that transforms the encrypted document with a proxy key to create a transformed document [col.14, lines 65-67], wherein the encrypted document remains in an encrypted state [col.12, lines 55-56] during the transformation to the transformed document.

Wright discloses alternative methods for secure message transmission. There is a method that decrypts and then re-encrypts the message and there is the alternative method of a straight through process of the encryption and the re-encryption process throughout the transformation of the encrypted document where the encryption remains encrypted [col.13, lines 49-65 and col.14, lines 16-30]. It is obvious that a message being encrypted is considered a message that has been transformed. However, Wright did not explain the encrypted message transforms to the encrypted message into a transformed message that is not decrypted to the original message and re-encrypted.

Jakobsson teaches proxy cryptography and demonstrates that asymmetric proxy transformations exist (col.3, lines 18-21). Jakobsson discloses the asymmetric encryption where the transformation is performed under quorum control which guarantees that if there is not a dishonest quorum, then the plaintext message whose encryption is being transformed is not revealed to the proxy servers. Jakobsson's

Art Unit: 2135

solution is efficient allowing tight control over actions and forwarding secret key encrypted messages from a primary recipient to a secondary recipient without disclosing the underlying encrypted message (col.3, lines 50-63). Jakobsson discloses the proxy transforming encrypted messages to encryptions with a variety of different recipient public keys to allow for categorization of the encryptions (col.4, lines 17-20). Further, Jakobsson includes the proxy to transform an encryption which the proxy could not decrypt into an encryption for which the proxy holds the secret key (col.6, lines 10-14). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wright with transforming the encrypted message to a transformed message that is not decrypted and re-encrypted as taught by Jakobsson because during transformation the plaintext is not revealed which leads to not being decrypted and transforming an encrypted message is efficient and does not disclose the underlying encrypted message (col.3, lines 50-63).

As per claim 14: See Wright on col.14, lines 65-67; discusses transmitting system that transmits the transforming the transformed document to the selected recipient.

As per claim 15: See Wright on col.12, lines 5-1 and col.14, lines 41-42; discusses a recovering system that recovers the session key from the transformed document and decrypting system that decrypts the transformed document with the session key to recover the original document.

As per claim 16: See Wright on col.13, line 51; discusses the recovery of the session key is performed by applying the private key corresponding to the selected recipient.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 17: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses the encryption is performed with a combination of a symmetric private key encryption scheme and an asymmetric public key encryption scheme.

As per claim 18: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses the asymmetric public key encryption scheme is based on the ElGamal encryption scheme.

As per claim 19: See Wright on col.7, lines 3-5 and col.11, lines 10-11; discusses the encrypted document comprises a first portion representative of the original document encrypted via the symmetric private key encryption scheme using the session key, and a second portion representative of the session key encrypted using an owner's private key according to the asymmetric public key encryption scheme (col.7, lines 20-21).

As per claim 20: See Wright col.14, lines 65-67 and col.13, lines 50-51; discloses the original document is distributed to the selected recipient through at least one additional intermediate grantor by repeating the following steps for each additional intermediate grantor by using the proxy key generation system to generate a new proxy key based on the intermediate grantor's public key and using the transformation system to transform the encrypted document with the new proxy key to create a transformed document customized for the intermediate grantor.

As per claim 22: See Wright on col.5, lines 45-56; discusses encrypted with the modified ElGamal encryption scheme.

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Wright and Jakobsson combination, and in further view of Irish Times "Encryption Technology to Thwart Computer Hackers System Should Protect Security of E-Commerce" (City Edition).

As per claim 9:

Wright discloses a public- private encryption method wherein uses private keys and public keys for encryption and decryption (col.7, lines 59-65). Jakobsson teaches proxy cryptography and demonstrates that asymmetric proxy transformations exist (col.3, lines 18-21). However, the Wright and Jakobsson combination does not include the Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme.

The Irish Times disclosed in its article "Encryption Technology to Thwart

Computer Hackers System Should Protect Security of E-Commerce" a Cramer-Shoup

encryption scheme [paragraph 4] where this encryption was developed by

mathematicians from IBM and Swiss Federal Institute of Technology to have created an

unbreakable protection for computer data [paragraph 2]. Cramer-Shoup method

Art Unit: 2135

thwarts attacks of decoding encrypted messages passing through the network with bogus messages by adding another series of calculations which ensure the server leaks no information when responding to the bogus text [paragraph 6].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of the Wright and Jakobsson combination with Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme as taught by The Irish Times because this method thwarts attacks of decoding encrypted messages passing through the network with bogus messages by adding another series of calculations which ensure the server leaks no information when responding to the bogus text.

As per claim 21:

Wright discloses a public- private encryption method wherein uses private keys and public keys for encryption and decryption (col.7, lines 59-65). Jakobsson teaches proxy cryptography and demonstrates that asymmetric proxy transformations exist (col.3, lines 18-21). However, the Wright and Jakobsson combination does not include the Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme.

The Irish Times disclosed in its article "Encryption Technology to Thwart Computer Hackers System Should Protect Security of E-Commerce" a Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme [paragraph 4] where this encryption was developed by mathematicians from IBM and Swiss Federal Institute of Technology to have created an unbreakable protection for computer data [paragraph 2]. Cramer-Shoup method thwarts attacks of decoding encrypted messages passing through the network with

Art Unit: 2135

bogus messages by adding another series of calculations, which ensure the server, leaks no information when responding to the bogus text [paragraph 6].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Wright & Ellison with Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme as taught by The Irish Times because this method thwarts attacks of decoding encrypted messages passing through the network with bogus messages by adding another series of calculations which ensure the server leaks no information when responding to the bogus text.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,726 Page 13

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LHa

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE-TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/469,726 Examiner LEYNNA T. HA Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination WANG, XIN Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,587,946	07-2003	Jakobsson, Markus Bjorn	713/180
	В	US-			
	O	US-			,
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р				•	
	Q	,				
	R					
	s					
	т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
j.	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Hd TOWN VO

COMMISSIONER FOR PALEN IS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

NOT DELITYBRABLE AS ALT UNABLE TO FORMAR. RETURN TO SENTER 2068 2

matamatatan manamatatatan